

Random Chain Recurrent Sets for Random Dynamical Systems *

Xiaopeng Chen¹ and Jinqiao Duan²

1. School of Mathematics and Statistics
Huazhong University of Science and Technology
Wuhan 430074, China

E-mail: chenxiao002214336@yahoo.cn

2. Department of Applied Mathematics
Illinois Institute of Technology
Chicago, IL 60616, USA

E-mail: duan@iit.edu

March 26, 2009

Abstract. It is known by the Conley's theorem that the chain recurrent set $CR(\varphi)$ of a deterministic flow φ on a compact metric space is the complement of the union of sets $B(A) - A$, where A varies over the collection of attractors and $B(A)$ is the basin of attraction of A . It has recently been shown that a similar decomposition result holds for random dynamical systems on noncompact separable complete metric spaces, but under a so-called *absorbing condition*. In the present paper, the authors introduce a notion of random chain recurrent sets for random dynamical systems, and then prove the random Conley's theorem on noncompact separable complete metric spaces *without* the absorbing condition.

Keywords: Chain recurrent sets; attractors; Conley's theorem; random dynamical systems; cocycle

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37H99, 37B20, 37B25, 37B35

*This research was partly supported by the NSF grant 0620539, the Cheung Kong Scholars Program and the K. C. Wong Education Foundation.

1 Introduction

Chain recurrent sets play an important role in the study of qualitative behaviors of dynamical systems. In a well-known paper [6], Conley discovered a fundamental connection between the chain recurrent set and the collection of attractors for a deterministic dynamical system φ on a compact metric space (X, d) : the complement of the chain recurrent set is the union of the sets $B(A) - A$, where A varies over the collection of attractors of φ and $B(A)$ is the basin of attraction of A . Namely,

$$X - CR(\varphi) = \bigcup [B(A) - A].$$

In other words, the complement of the chain recurrent set $CR(\varphi)$ in the whole state space X is the union of the complements of attractors A in their own basins of attraction. This result is called the Conley's theorem, which approaches the fundamental theorem of dynamical systems [17]. And the fundamental theorem can be applied to such as the bifurcation theorem[13].

The chain recurrent sets are widely studied and further extended by many researchers in different contexts; see for example [2, 4, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18]. In particular, by an alternative definition of the chain recurrent set, Conley's theorem was established for maps on locally compact metric spaces by Hurley[10, 11] and was extended for semiflows and maps on arbitrary metric spaces[3, 12]. Let us recall a definition from [3, 12]:

Consider the set $P(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow (0, \infty) \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$. For any $\varepsilon \in P(X)$ and $T > 0$, a finite sequence $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}; t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, $x_i \in X$ and $t_i \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is called an (ε, T) -chain for φ from $p \in X$ to $q \in X$ if

$$x_1 = p, \quad x_{n+1} = q, \quad t_i \geq T,$$

and

$$d(\varphi(t_i, x_i), x_{i+1}) < \varepsilon(\varphi(t_i, x_i)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

A point $p \in X$ is called chain recurrent for φ if for any $\varepsilon \in P(X)$ and $T > 0$ there exists an (ε, T) -chain for φ from p back to p . The chain recurrent set $CR(\varphi)$ is the collection of all chain recurrent points for φ .

A random dynamical system models dynamics in a state space X influenced by probabilistic noise defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Recently, the Conley's theorem was shown to hold for a random dynamical system φ

on a compact metric space X by Liu [15]. Liu obtained the following result by defining a random chain recurrent set.

Random Conley's theorem on compact metric space: Let φ be a random dynamical system on a compact space X . Assume that $U(\omega)$ is an arbitrary random pre-attractor, $A(\omega)$ is the random local attractor determined by $U(\omega)$, and $B(A)(\omega)$ is the random basin of attraction of $A(\omega)$. Then the following decomposition holds:

$$X - CR_\varphi(\omega) = \bigcup [B(A)(\omega) - A(\omega)], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

where the union is taken over all random local attractors determined by random pre-attractors. See Definition 2 below for the meaning of pre-attractors and local attractors. Liu [16] further extended his result to noncompact Polish spaces (i.e., separable complete metric spaces) under an additional *absorbing condition*: the pre-attractor $U(\omega)$ is assumed to be an absorbing set. Obviously this absorbing condition depends on the underlying metric in the state space X .

In the present paper, we prove a random Conley's theorem on noncompact Polish metric spaces *without the absorbing condition*. Namely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 (*Random Conley's theorem on noncompact Polish spaces*).

Let φ be a random dynamical system on a non-compact Polish space X . Assume that $U(\omega)$ is an arbitrary random pre-attractor, $A(\omega)$ is the random local attractor determined by $U(\omega)$ and $B(A, U)(\omega)$ is the basin of attraction determined by $U(\omega)$ and $A(\omega)$. Then the following decomposition holds:

$$X - CR_\varphi(\omega) = \bigcup [B(A, U)(\omega) - A(\omega)], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

where the union is taken over all random local attractors determined by random pre-attractors.

Note that the *random chain recurrent set* that we define below (in Definition 3) is different from Liu's [15], but they are equivalent when the state space X is compact.

This paper is organized as follows. After introducing random chain recurrent sets in §2, we study the relation of random chain recurrent sets and random attractors for random dynamical systems in §3, which includes the main result of this paper. Finally we demonstrate the main result by some simple examples in §4.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that (X, d) is a Polish space, i.e. a separable complete metric space X with metric d . For $Y \subset X$, ClY , $intY$, Y^C denote respectively the closure, interior and complement of Y . Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, with sample space Ω , universal σ -algebra and probability measure $\mathbb{P}$.

We recall the definition of a continuous random dynamical system (RDS) on the state space X , with the time set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. For more information see references [1, 5, 15].

Definition 1 (*Random dynamical system*).

A continuous random dynamical system (RDS), shortly denoted by φ , consists of two ingredients:

(i) A model of the noise, namely a driving flow $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ on the sample space Ω , such that $(t, \omega) \mapsto \theta_t \omega$ is a measurable flow that leaves $\mathbb{P}$ invariant, i.e. $\theta_t \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

(ii) A model of the system influenced by noise, namely a cocycle φ over θ , i.e. a measurable mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \times \Omega \times X \rightarrow X$, $(t, \omega, x) \mapsto \varphi(t, \omega, x)$, such that $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi(t, \omega, x)$ is continuous for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and the family $\varphi(t, \omega, \cdot) = \varphi(t, \omega) : X \rightarrow X$ of random mappings satisfies the cocycle property:

$$\varphi(0, \omega) = id_X, \varphi(t + s, \omega) = \varphi(t, \theta_s \omega) \circ \varphi(s, \omega) \text{ for all } t, s \in \mathbb{T}, \omega \in \Omega. \quad (1)$$

It follows from (1) that $\varphi(t, \omega)$ is a homeomorphism of X and

$$\varphi(t, \omega)^{-1} = \varphi(-t, \theta_t \omega).$$

For a random variable $T(\omega)$, we call $T(\omega) > 0$ if it holds $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 2 (*Pre-attractor and local attractor*).

A random open set $U(\omega)$ is called a random pre-attractor of a RDS φ if

$$Cl \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t} \omega) U(\theta_{-t} \omega) \subset U(\omega) \quad \text{for some } \tau(\omega) > 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\tau(\omega)$ is an $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable. We define the random local attractor $A(\omega)$ inside $U(\omega)$ to be the following:

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Cl \bigcup_{t \geq n\tau(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t} \omega) U(\theta_{-t} \omega). \quad (3)$$

The basin of attraction for $A(\omega)$ is defined by $B(A, U)(\omega) = \{x : \varphi(t, \omega)x \in U(\theta_t \omega) \text{ for some } t \geq 0\}$.

In the formula (3), we allow the random local attractor $A(\omega)$ to be possibly an empty set. Similar to the Lemma 3.1 in [16], we can assume that a pre-attractor $U(\omega)$ is a forward invariant random open set. In the deterministic case, it can be showed that if X is a compact metric space and U is a nonempty open subset of X , then U is a pre-attractor for a flow φ if and only if $Cl\varphi(T, U) \subset U$ for some $T > 0$; but when X is not compact, this result may not be true [12]. When X is compact, the basin of attraction of an attractor is independent of the choice of the pre-attractor; but when X is not compact, the basin of attraction generally depends on the pre-attractor [10].

Now we define a random chain recurrent set in a Polish space. This definition extends the definition in [3, 12, 15]. Recall that a function $f : X \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a Caratheodory function if for all $x \in X$, $\omega \mapsto f(x, \omega)$ is measurable, and for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \mapsto f(x, \omega)$ is continuous. Let $Y = (0, +\infty)$ and we use $M(X \times \Omega)$ to denote the set of all such Caratheodory functions.

Definition 3 (*Random chain recurrent set*).

(i) For a given Caratheodory function $\varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega)$ and a random variable $T(\omega) > 0$, a finite sequence $\{x_1(\omega), \dots, x_n(\omega), x_{n+1}(\omega); t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ is called an $(\varepsilon, T)(\omega)$ -chain for φ from $x(\omega)$ to $y(\omega)$ if for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$x_1(\omega) = x(\omega), \quad x_{n+1}(\omega) = y(\omega), \quad t_i \geq T(\omega),$$

and

$$d(\varphi(t_i, \theta_{-t_i} \omega)x_i(\theta_{-t_i} \omega), x_{i+1}(\omega)) < \varepsilon(\varphi(t_i, \theta_{-t_i} \omega)x_i(-\theta_{t_i} \omega), \omega).$$

(ii) Random variable $x(\omega)$ is called random chain recurrent with index δ if

$$\mathbb{P}\{\omega \mid \text{there exists an } (\varepsilon, T)(\omega)\text{-chain beginning and ending at } x(\omega) \\ \text{for any } \varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega), T(\omega) > 0\} = \delta,$$

where index δ satisfies that for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in M(X \times \Omega)$ and $T_0(\omega) > 0$ such that any $(\varepsilon_0, T_0)(\omega)$ -chain beginning and ending at $x(\omega)$ with probability no more than $\delta + \eta$. Moreover, a random variable $x(\omega)$ is called

random chain recurrent if $\delta = 1$. A random variable $x(\omega)$ is called partly random chain recurrent if $1 > \delta > 0$. And, $x(\omega)$ is called completely random non-chain recurrent if $\delta = 0$.

(iii) $CR_\varphi(\omega)$ denotes the random chain recurrent set of φ , i.e.,

$$CR_\varphi(\omega) = \{x(\omega) \mid x(\omega) \text{ is the random chain recurrent part of random chain recurrent variable with index } \delta\},$$

where the random chain recurrent part is defined by $x(\omega) : \omega \in \Lambda$,

$$\Lambda := \{ \omega \mid \text{there exists an } (\varepsilon, T)(\omega)\text{-chain beginning and ending at } x(\omega) \text{ for any } \varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega), T(\omega) > 0 \}.$$

The definition of completely random non-chain recurrent variables in the present paper is different from that used in [15].

In the above definition, we see that the random chain recurrent set $CR_\varphi(\omega)$ of a RDS φ has the property that for any random chain recurrent variable $x(\omega)$ with index δ , we have $x(\omega) \in CR_\varphi(\omega)$ with probability δ and vice versa.

Remark 1 Notice that if X is compact, then for any $\varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega)$, there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\varepsilon(x_0, \omega) = \min_{x \in X} \{\varepsilon(x, \omega)\}$. It can be shown that when X is compact, the random chain recurrent set that we define here is the same as the one in [15].

3 Random Conley's theorem

In this section we prove the main result of this paper, i.e., Theorem 1. We first recall and prove some lemmas.

The following lemma about random local attractor is similar to Lemma 3.1 in [15], which originates from [5, 7].

Lemma 1 Suppose $U(\omega)$ is a given pre-attractor and $U(\tau(\omega)) = Cl \bigcup_{s \geq \tau(\omega)} \varphi(s, \theta_{-s}\omega)U(\theta_{-s}\omega)$, then the random local attractor

$$A(\omega) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U(n\tau(\omega)) \tag{4}$$

determined by $U(\omega)$ is a random closed set.

We prove a property of random chain recurrent variables.

Lemma 2 *If the random chain recurrent variable $x(\omega) \in U(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, where $U(\omega)$ is a random pre-attractor, then $x(\omega) \in A(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, where $A(\omega)$ is a random attractor determined by $U(\omega)$.*

Proof. Recall that the pre-attractor $U(\omega)$ is forward invariant. For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\bigcup_{t \geq 0} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) \subset U(\omega).$$

We need to show that there exists an $\varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega)$ such that $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and

$$B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)) \subset U(\omega) \quad (5)$$

for all $x(\omega) \in U(\omega)$ and $t \geq 0$.

Let us construct such a Caratheodory function ε . Define $\delta \in M(X \times \Omega)$ by

$$\delta(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega)) + d(x, X - U(\omega))\}.$$

Then $\delta(x, \omega) > 0$ since $x \notin X - U(\omega)$ if $x \in \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) \subset U(\omega)$. Let $x(\omega) \in U(\omega)$ and $t \geq 0$. For any $y(\omega) \in B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \delta(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega))$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) &< \delta(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), X - U(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) &< d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), X - U(\omega)) \\ &\leq d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) + d(y(\omega), X - U(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(y(\omega), X - U(\omega)) > d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) \geq 0$, we have $y(\omega) \in U(\omega)$. Hence

$$B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)) \subset U(\omega),$$

where $\varepsilon = \min\{\delta, 1\}$ is the desired Caratheodory function.

Let random variable $\tilde{\tau}(\omega) > 0$ and m, n be positive integers. Since $x(\omega) \in CR_\varphi(\omega)$, there is an $(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}, m\tilde{\tau})(\omega)$ -chain $\{x_1(\omega), \dots, x_k(\omega), x_{k+1}(\omega); t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ from $x(\omega)$ back to $x(\omega)$. Due to

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), x_2(\omega)) &< \frac{1}{n}\varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega), \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$x_2(\omega) \in B(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega)) \subset U(\omega).$$

Thus $x_k(\omega) \in U(\omega)$ by induction. Noticing that

$$d(\varphi(t_k, \theta_{-t_k}\omega)x_k(\theta_{-t_k}\omega), x_{k+1}(\omega)) < \frac{1}{n}\varepsilon(\varphi(t_k, \theta_{-t_k}\omega)x_k(\theta_{-t_k}\omega), \omega) \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

we obtain

$$d(x(\omega), \bigcup_{t \geq m\tilde{\tau}(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega)) \leq d(x(\omega), \varphi(t_k, \theta_{-t_k}\omega)x_k(\theta_{-t_k}\omega)) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$d(x(\omega), \bigcup_{t \geq m\tilde{\tau}(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega)) = 0.$$

Hence $x(\omega) \in Cl \bigcup_{t \geq m\tilde{\tau}(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega)$. This implies that

$$x(\omega) \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Cl \bigcup_{t \geq m\tilde{\tau}(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) = A(\omega).$$

□

Finally we obtain another property for random chain recurrent variables.

Lemma 3 *If the random chain recurrent variable $x(\omega) \in B(A, U)(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, then $x(\omega) \in A(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, where $B(A, U)(\omega)$ is the basin of attraction determined by $U(\omega)$ and $A(\omega)$.*

Proof. Let a sequence $s_n \rightarrow \infty$, denote

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_n &= \{\omega \mid \varphi(s_n, \omega)x(\omega) \in U(\theta_{s_n}\omega)\}, \\ \Omega'_n &= \{\omega \mid \varphi(s_n, \theta_{-s_n}\omega)x(\theta_{-s_n}\omega) \in U(\omega)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\Omega'_n).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(\Omega'_n) \leq \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Omega'_i)$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega'_n) = 1$. For fixed $\omega \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Omega'_i$ and $x(\omega) \in CR_\varphi(\omega)$, there exists s_n such that the following holds:

$$\varphi(s_n, \theta_{-s_n}\omega)x(\theta_{-s_n}\omega) \in U(\omega).$$

Assume that random variable $\tilde{\tau}(\omega) > 0$ and m, n are positive integers. Select $\varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega)$ satisfies (5) for $\varphi(s_n, \theta_{-s_n}\omega)x(\theta_{-s_n}\omega) \in U(\omega)$. Since $x(\omega) \in CR_\varphi(\omega)$, there is an $(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}, m\tilde{\tau})(\omega)$ -chain $\{x_1(\omega), \dots, x_k(\omega), x_{k+1}(\omega); t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ from $x(\omega)$ back to $x(\omega)$. Take $t_1 > s_n$ by m large enough. Since

$$\begin{aligned}& d(\varphi(t_1 - s_n, \theta_{-(t_1-s_n)}\omega) \circ \varphi(s_n, \theta_{-s_n}\theta_{s_n-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-s_n}\theta_{s_n-t_1}\omega), x_2(\omega)) \\ &= d(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), x_2(\omega)) \\ &< \frac{1}{n}\varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x_1(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega).\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$x_2(\omega) \in B(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t_1, \theta_{-t_1}\omega)x(\theta_{-t_1}\omega), \omega)) \subset U(\omega).$$

By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 2. We have

$$x(\omega) \in \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} Cl \bigcup_{t \geq m\tilde{\tau}(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) = A(\omega).$$

□

Remark 2 *The proof of Lemma 3 is different from that in [15]. In [15], the entrance time of $x(\omega)$ into $U(\omega)$ under the cocycle φ does not depend on the ω finally, while the entrance time depends on the ω in this Lemma.*

Remark 3 For a given random variable $x(\omega)$, define $\Omega_{CR}(x) = \{\omega \mid x(\omega) \in CR_\varphi(\omega)\}$. If $x(\omega)$ is partly random chain recurrent and $x(\omega) \in B(A, U)(\omega)$ $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, we know that if $\omega \in \Omega_{CR}(x)$, then

$$x(\omega) \in A(\omega) \quad (6)$$

holds for any given random local attractor $A(\omega)$. This can be seen as in the proof of Lemma 3. Hence by a similar discussion of [15] we obtain that

$$\bigcup [B(A, U)(\omega) - A(\omega)] \subset X - CR_\varphi(\omega), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1:

Suppose $x(\omega)$ is a random variable, $\varepsilon \in M(X \times \Omega)$, random variable $\tau(\omega) > 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(\omega) : &= \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)), \\ U_2(\omega) : &= \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} \bigcup_{y(\omega) \in U_1(\omega)} B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)y(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)y(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)), \\ &\dots \\ U_n(\omega) : &= \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} \bigcup_{y(\omega) \in U_{n-1}(\omega)} B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)y(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)y(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)), \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $x \in X$, define

$$d_1(t, \omega) := d(x, B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)))$$

Since $\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable, we can obtain $(t, \omega) \rightarrow d_1(t, \omega)$ is $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, U_1(\omega)) &= d(x, \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} B(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega))) \\ &= \inf_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} d_1(t, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary $a \in R^+$, we have

$$\{\omega \mid \inf_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} d_1(t, \omega) < a\} = \Pi_\Omega\{(t, \omega) \mid d_1(t, \omega) < a, t \geq \tau(\omega)\}.$$

It is obvious that the function $(t, \omega) \rightarrow t - \tau(\omega)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) \times \mathcal{F}$, so by the Projection Theorem, we obtain that $U_1(\omega)$ is a random open set. Similarly we can prove that $U_2(\omega), \dots, U_n(\omega), \dots$ are all random open sets. So the set

$$U_x(\omega) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n(\omega) \quad (7)$$

is a random open set. From the construction of $U_x(\omega)$ we can see that $U_x(\omega)$ is the set of all possible end points of $(\varepsilon, \tau)(\omega)$ -chains that begin at $x(\omega)$.

In the following we prove that $U_x(\omega)$ is a pre-attractor. It is easy to see that $x(\omega) \notin U_x(\omega)$ and

$$\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)x(\theta_{-t}\omega) \in U_x(\omega)$$

when $t \geq \tau(\omega)$. Since $\varepsilon(x, \omega)$ is continuous in x , there exists a map $\delta : X \times \Omega \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ with $\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ such that $\varepsilon(y(\omega), \omega) > \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x(\omega), \omega)$ when $d(x(\omega), y(\omega)) < \delta(x(\omega), \omega)$. For $B(y(\omega), \delta(y(\omega), \omega)) \cap \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) \neq \emptyset$, $t \geq \tau(\omega)$. There exists $z(\omega) \in U_x(\omega)$ such that

$$d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)z(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) < \delta(y(\omega), \omega), \quad t \geq \tau(\omega).$$

Since $d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)z(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) < \delta(y(\omega), \omega)$, we have

$$\varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)z(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega) > \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(y(\omega), \omega).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)z(\theta_{-t}\omega), y(\omega)) &< \delta(y(\omega), \omega) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(y(\omega), \omega) \\ &< \varepsilon(\varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)z(\theta_{-t}\omega), \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists an $(\varepsilon, \tau)(\omega)$ -chain from $x(\omega)$ to $y(\omega)$. This means that

$$Cl \bigcup_{t \geq \tau(\omega)} \varphi(t, \theta_{-t}\omega)U(\theta_{-t}\omega) \subset U(\omega).$$

So $U_x(\omega)$ is a random pre-attractor and it determines a random closed local attractor $A_x(\omega)$ by Lemma 1.

When $x(\omega)$ is random chain recurrent with index δ , i.e. $x(\omega) \in X - CR_\varphi(\omega)$ with probability $1 - \delta$, by a similar argument in [15], we obtain

$$X - CR_\varphi(\omega) \subset \bigcup [B(A, U)(\omega) - A(\omega)], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

By Remark 3, we complete the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

4 Examples

We now present some examples to illustrate our result in Theorem 1. It is known that when the pre-attractor is bounded or the state space is finite dimensional, then the pre-attractor is an absorbing set. The pre-attractor in Example 1 is unbounded and it is not an absorbing set. The pre-attractor in Example 2 is in infinite dimensional state space and it is not necessarily an absorbing set. In both examples, our Theorem 1 applies, while Liu's result [16] does not apply as it requires the pre-attractor to be also an absorbing set.

Example 1 Consider a random map $f : ((x, y), \omega) \rightarrow ((x + 1, y), \omega)$. It generates a discrete random dynamical system on the state space $X = \mathbb{R}^2$. The set

$$U(\omega) = \{(x, y) \mid |y| \leq e^x\}$$

is a pre-attractor. But it does not satisfy the absorbing condition. It is clear that the local attractor is $A(\omega) = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, while $B(A, U)(\omega) = \mathbb{R}^2$ is the basin of attraction. By Theorem 1, we conclude that the random chain recurrent set is $\emptyset$.

We revise an example from [8] to fit our purpose here.

Example 2 Let X be a Polish space and denoted $Pr(X)$ all Borel probability measures on X . Suppose that φ is a RDS on X . Then φ induces a RDS on the Polish space $Pr(X)$, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{T} \times \Omega \times Pr(X) &\rightarrow Pr(X) \\ (t, \omega, \rho) &\mapsto \varphi(t, \omega)\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t, \omega)\rho$ is the image measure of the deterministic measure ρ under the random map $\varphi(t, \omega)$.

Assume that there exists a unique pre-attractor $\Gamma_U \neq Pr(X)$ and $Pr(A(\omega))$ is the corresponding local attractor. Then by Theorem 1, $X - CR_\Phi(\omega) = B(Pr(A), \Gamma_U)(\omega) - Pr(A(\omega))$, $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.

References

- [1] Arnold, L., 1998, *Random Dynamical Systems* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag).
- [2] Bongolan-Walsh, P., Cheban, D., and Duan, J., 2003, Recurrent motions in the nonautonomous Navier-Stokes systems. *Disc. and Cont. Dyn. Syst. B*, **3**: 255-262.
- [3] Choi, S., Chu, C., and Park, J., 2002, Chain recurrent sets for flows on non-compact spaces. *J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns.*, **12**: 597-511.
- [4] Chu, H., 2005, Chain recurrence for multi-valued dynamical systems on noncompact spaces. *Nonlinear Anal*, **61**: 715-723.
- [5] Chueshov, I., 2002, *Monotone Random systems Theory and Applications* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 1779)(Berlin: Springer).
- [6] Conley, C., 1978, *Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index* (Conf. Board Math. Sci. vol 38) (Providence: Amer. Math. Soc.).
- [7] Crauel, H., and Flandoli, F., 1994, Attractors for random dynamical systems. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **100**: 365-393.
- [8] Crauel, H., 2008, Measure attractors and Markov attractors. *Dynamical Systems*, **23**: 75-107.
- [9] Franks, J., 1988, A variation on the Poincaré-Birkhoff theorem. In *Hamiltonian dynamical systems*, Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., pp.111–117.
- [10] Hurley, M., 1991, Chain recurrence and attraction in non-compact spaces. *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, **11**: 709-729.

- [11] Hurley, M., 1992, Noncompact chain recurrence and attraction. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **115**: 1139-1148.
- [12] Hurley, M., 1995, Chain recurrence, semiflows, and gradients. *J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns*, **7**: 437-456.
- [13] Kappos, E., 1995, The Conley index and global bifurcations, Part I: Concepts and Theory. *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos*, **5**: 937-953.
- [14] Kyung, B. L., and Jong, S. P., 2007, Chain recurrence and attractors in general dynamical systems. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **22**: 575-586.
- [15] Liu, Z., 2006, The random case of Conley's theorem. *Nonlinearity*, **19**: 277-291
- [16] Liu, Z., 2007, The random case of Conley's theorem: II. The complete Lyapunov function. *Nonlinearity*, **20**: 1017-1130.
- [17] Norton, D. E., 1995, The fundamental theorem of dynamical systems. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **36**: 585-597.
- [18] Patrao, M., San Martin, L. A. B., 2007, Semiflows on Topological Spaces: Chain Transitivity and Semigroups and Semigroups. *J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns*, **19**: 155-580.